



3724/18

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Application of: Kenichi SHIMIZU  
Application No. 10/070,248  
Filed: July 10, 2002  
For: METAL SHEET DRILLING DISK...

Art Unit: 3724  
Examiner: C. Goodman  
Washington, D.C.  
Atty.'s Docket: SHIMIZU=13  
Date: April 28, 2004

Customer Window, Mail Stop  
THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Arlington, VA 22202

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a ☒ REPLY: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION in the above-identified application.  
☐ Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted  
☐ A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.  
☐ No additional fee is required.  
The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)
	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA EQUALS
TOTAL	*	MINUS	** 20	0
INDEP.	*	MINUS	*** 3	0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM				

SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 9	\$
x 43	\$
+ 145	\$
ADDITIONAL FEE TOTAL	
\$	

OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY	
RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
x 18	\$
x 86	\$
+ 290	\$
TOTAL	
\$	

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- \*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space.

The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (total or independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment of the number of claims originally filed.

☒ Conditional Petition for Extension of Time  
If any extension of time for a response is required, applicant requests that this be considered a petition therefor.

☒ It is hereby petitioned for an extension of time in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a). The appropriate fee required by 37 CFR 1.17 is calculated as shown below:

Small Entity  
Response Filed Within  
☐ First - \$ 55.00  
☐ Second - \$ 210.00  
☐ Third - \$ 475.00  
☐ Fourth - \$ 740.00  
Month After Time Period Set

Other Than Small Entity  
Response Filed Within  
☒ First - \$ 110.00  
☐ Second - \$ 420.00  
☐ Third - \$ 950.00  
☐ Fourth - \$ 1,480.00  
Month After Time Period Set

☐ Less fees (\$ ) already paid for month(s) extension of time on .

☐ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 02-4035 in the amount of \$ .

☒ Credit Card Payment Form, PTO-2038, is attached, authorizing payment in the amount of \$ 110.00 .


☐ A check in the amount of \$ is attached (check no. ).

☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized and requested to charge any additional fees which may be required in connection with this application or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-4035. This authorization and request is not limited to payment of all fees associated with this communication, including any Extension of Time fee, not covered by check or specific authorization, but is also intended to include all fees for the presentation of extra claims under 37 CFR §1.16 and all patent processing fees under 37 CFR §1.17 throughout the prosecution of the case. This blanket authorization does not include patent issue fees under 37 CFR §1.18.

04/30/2004 FHETEKI1 00000020 10070248  
01 FC:1251 110.00 OP

Facsimile: (202) 737-3528  
Telephone: (202) 628-5197

BROWDY AND NEIMARK  
Attorneys for Applicant(s)

By:   
Sheridan Neimark  
Registration No. 20,520



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTY.'S DOCKET: SHIMIZU=13

In re Application of:	)	Art Unit: 3724
	)	
Keiichi SHIMIZU	)	Examiner: C. Goodman
	)	
Appln. No.: 10/070,248	)	Washington, D.C.
	)	
Date Filed: July 10, 2002	)	Confirmation No. 9709
	)	
For: METAL SHEET DRILLING DISK..)		April 28, 2004

**REPLY: REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION**

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
2011 South Clark Place  
Customer Window, **Mail Stop** \_\_\_\_  
Crystal Plaza Two, Lobby, Room 1B03  
Honorable Commissioner for Patents  
Arlington, Virginia 22202

Sir:

Applicant has received and studied the Office Action mailed December 29, 2003, and the prior art relied upon therein. The claims in the application remain as claims 1-12. These claims define patentable subject matter under §§102 and 103, and should be allowed. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests favorable reconsideration and allowance.

Acknowledgement by the PTO of the receipt of applicant's papers filed under §119 is noted.